The Director of Central Intelligence Washington, D.C. 20505

National Intelligence Council

21 Jan 87

MEMORANDUM FOR: A

Ambassador Morton I. Abramowitz

Assistant Secretary for Intelligence and Research

Department of State

SUBJECT:

INR Publications Survey

I have found the War Watch Weekly to be one of the most useful products put out by any of the intelligence organizations relating to coverage of hostilities in the world. The narrative discussion, because it includes such a good view of the evidence behind the judgments, is more informative than are the other sources generally covering these events. My National Intelligence Office for Warning has commented directly to Mr. Dean Howells to praise INR's efforts with respect to this document.

Frank B. Horton III Brigadier General, USAF Chairman

ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF STATE WASHINGTON

January 5, 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Brig. Gen. Frank B. Horton

Chairman of NIC

Central Intelligence Agency

SUBJECT

INR Publications Survey

We are surveying selected readers of INR publications to learn what you think of our publications and how we might improve them. We would appreciate your taking the time to fill out the attached questionnaire and return it to us in the preaddressed envelope.

The questions require only brief answers, but we would be delighted if you want to say more than the space allows. Just add a page with your further comments.

You need not sign the questionnaire and there is nothing in it that will identify you. Please respond and thereby help us to improve our publications program.

Morton I. Abramowitz

Unto.

Attachments:

- 1. Questionnaire
- 2. Preaddressed envelope

INR Publications Survey

Please answer the following questions:

War Watch Weekly

- 1. How often do you read this publication? Regularly X Sometimes Never
- 2. How useful have you found this publication? Very useful Fairly useful Not useful
- 3. In general, is the publication: Too long___ Too short____Okay as is X___
- 4. Please rate War Watch Weekly on each of the following aspects (additional comment may be included under 5 below):

		Excellent	Good		Fair		Poor	
a.	Choice of subject matter:	[X]	[]	[]	[]
b.	Analytic quality:	[\(\sqrt{1} \)	[]	[.] .	[]
c.	Factual accuracy:	[\langle 1.	[]	[]	[]
d.	Timeliness:	[X]	[]	[] ,	[]
е.	Readability:	[X]	[]	[]	[]

5. Comments and suggestions: